**G. AUTHORITIES**

1. Case Authorities
   * 1. Parties may, in their discretion, limit the photocopying of unduly long cases to the headnote and the specific passage or passages upon which they rely. This should be made clear on the portions of the case being filed, with a notation indicating the pages of the case not being filed.
     2. In citing cases:
2. the neutral citation should be utilized where applicable e.g. *Smith v. Brown*, 2010 PESC 123.
3. in the event that there is a parallel reported citation, the neutral citation should be first, followed by the parallel citation. e.g. *Smith v. Brown*, 2010 PESC 123, [2000]...Nfld & P.E.I.R. xx,.
   * 1. Cases taken from an electronic database which contain paragraph numbers may be filed.
     2. Cases taken from an electronic database which does not contain paragraph numbers or the Law Report page number should only be filed if there is no other option available.
4. Highlighting

The authorities cited and relied upon in motions, applications, trials and appeals are to have the relevant portion emphasized by highlighting in yellow colour.

1. Joint Authorities
   * 1. Parties are encouraged to file a joint book of authorities where possible. Alternatively, if a party has already filed a specific authority and an opposing party wishes to rely on the same authority, they may do so, and reference the opposing party’s book of authorities.
     2. When a case is referenced in a party’s factum, the actual case included in the memorandum of authorities is to be from the same reporting service cited in the factum. For example, if a party references *R. v Oakes*, [1986] 1 S.C.R. 103 in their factum, this Supreme Court Reports copy of the case should be in the party’s memorandum of authorities, and not, for example, the CanLII copy, cited as *R. v Oakes*, 1986 CanLII 46 (SCC).
2. Filing of Statutes, Regulations, or *Rules*

Where a statute, regulation or *Rule* is being relied upon, it is not necessary to file the complete statute, regulation or *Rule*. It is sufficient to file only those sections being relied on.

1. Common List of Authorities
   * 1. In an effort to decrease the frequency in which well-known cases are filed, the court has consulted with the bar and has created a list of common authorities by subject area. It is not necessary to file any case identified in the list of common authorities.
     2. The Schedules attached set out a list of common authorities which are subject-based. When referring to a case included in any of the attached schedules, reference need only be made to the paragraph and page number of the case. These Schedules may be periodically updated.

**SCHEDULE “A” TO COMMON LIST OF AUTHORITIES PRACTICE DIRECTION: ADMINISTRATIVE LAW**

1. *Baker v. Canada (Minister of Citizenship and Immigration)*, [1999] 2 S.C.R. 817
2. *Canada (Minister of Citizenship and Immigration) v. Vavilov*, 2019 SCC 65
3. *Dunsmuir v. New Brunswick*, 2008 SCC 9
4. *Housen v. Nikolaisen*, 2002 SCC 33

**SCHEDULE “B” TO COMMON LIST OF AUTHORITIES PRACTICE DIRECTION:**

**CIVIL LAW**

1. Summary Judgment

*Hryniak v. Mauldin,* 2014 SCC 17

1. Costs
2. *Jay v DHL,* 2009 PECA 11
3. *MacPherson v. Ellis,* 2005 PESCAD 19
4. *Oliver v. Severance*, 2007 PESCAD 21
5. Experts
6. *R. v. Abbey,* 2017 ONCA 640
7. *R. v. Mohan*, 1994 2 S.C.R 9
8. *White Burgess Langille Inman v. Abbott and Haliburton Co.,* 2015 SCC 23

**SCHEDULE “C” TO COMMON LIST OF AUTHORITIES PRACTICE DIRECTION: CRIMINAL LAW**

1. *R. v. Anthony-Cook*, [2016] 2 S.C.R. 204
2. *R. v. B. (K.G.)*, [1993] 1 S.C.R. 740
3. *R. v. Barton*, 2019 SCC 33
4. *R. v. Collins*, [1987] 1 S.C.R. 265
5. *R. v. Corbett*, [1988] 1 S.C.R. 670
6. *R. v. Friesen*, [2020] 1 S.C.R. 424
7. *R. v. Gagnon*, [2006] 1 S.C.R. 621
8. *R. v. Gladue*, [1999] 1 S.C.R. 688
9. *R. v. Goldfinch*, 2019 SCC 353
10. *R. v. Grant*, [2009] 2 S.C.R. 353
11. *R. v. Handy*, [2002] 2 S.C.R. 908
12. *R. v. J.J.*, 2022 SCC 28
13. *R. v. Jordan,* [2016] 1 S.C.R. 908
14. *R. v. Khan*, [1990] 2 S.C.R. 531
15. *R. v. Khelawon*, [2006] 2 S.C.R. 787
16. *R. v. Kienapple*, [1975] 1 S.C.R 729
17. *R. v. Lacasse*, [2015] 3 S.C.R. 1089
18. *R. v. M. (R.E.),* [2008] 3 S.C.R. 3
19. *R. v. Oakes*, [1986] 1 S.C.R 103
20. *R. v. O'Connor*, [1995] 4 S.C.R. 411
21. *R*. v. *Proulx,* [2000] 1 S.C.R. 61
22. *R. v. R.V.,* 2019 SCC 41
23. *R. v. Seaboyer*; *R. v. Gayme,* [1991] 2 S.C.R. 577
24. *R. v. Sheppard*, [2002] 1 S.C.R. 869
25. *R. v. Stinchcombe*, [1991] 3 S.C.R. 326
26. *R. v. Villaroman*, [2016] 1 S.C.R. 1000
27. *R. v. W.D.,* [1991] 1 S.C.R. 742
28. *R. v. W.R.,* [1992] 2 S.C.R. 122
29. *R. v. Yebes*, [1987] 2 S.C.R. 168
30. *Vetrovec v. The Queen*, [1982] 1 S.C.R. 811

**SCHEDULE “D” TO COMMON LIST OF AUTHORITIES PRACTICE DIRECTION:**

**FAMILY LAW**

1. Parenting
2. *AR v. DR*, 2018 PESC 37
3. *Barendregt v. Grebliunas*, 2022 SCC 22
4. *Chartier v. Chartier*, 1999 1 S.C.R 242
5. Child support
6. *C.A.M. v. J.C.*, 2021 PESC 41
7. *Colucci v. Colucci*, 2021 SCC 24
8. *Contino v. Leonelli-Contino*, 2005 SCC 63
9. *DBS v. SRG*, 2006 SCC 37
10. *JDM v. KDM*, 2015 PECA 16
11. *Michel v. Graydon*, 2020 SCC 24
12. *Miller v. White*, 2022 PESC 4
13. Spousal Support
14. *Bracklow v. Bracklow*, [1999] 1 S.C.R. 420
15. *CED v. CJD*, 2021 PECA 2
16. *F. (L.C.) v. B. (W.P.),* 2023 PECA 9
17. *Miller v. White*, 2022 PESC 4
18. *Moge v. Moge*, [1992] 3 S.C.R. 813
19. Property
20. *McAndrew v. Rooney-McAndrew*, 2003 PESCAD 25
21. *Miller v. White*, 2022 PESC 4
22. *TLJ v. DDJ*, 2019 PESC 29
23. *Weeks v. Weeks*, 2005 PESCAD 6
24. Trust Doctrines
25. *Enman v. Enman*, 2000 PESCTD 37
26. *Kerr v. Baranow*, 2011 SCC 10
27. Experts
    1. *DCP v. MM and DC*, 2022 PESC 31
    2. *R. v. Mohan*, 1994 2 S.C.R 9
28. Domestic Contracts

*Anderson v. Anderson*, 2023 SCC 13

**SCHEDULE “D” TO COMMON LIST OF AUTHORITIES PRACTICE DIRECTION:**

**FAMILY LAW (Continued)**

1. Child Protection
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